

By Ben Wear - American-Statesman Staff

A few days from what will almost certainly be votes endorsing an urban rail proposal, Austin City Council members and Capital Metro board members nonetheless had a few pointed questions about aspects of that notional 9.5-mile line.

At a meeting Tuesday, they said they wanted to know more about why the electric-powered, double-tracked rail line shouldn't extend to the airport, about how Capital Metro could afford to operate urban rail without gutting existing bus service and about a possible tunnel near Hancock Center.

Council Member Bill Spelman cut to the political heart of the rail proposal, which won't become reality unless Austin voters approve as much as \$700 million in bonds to build it.

"What can be accomplished by spending that money on a train that can't be accomplished by spending on roads?" Spelman, who supports the rail plan, asked Project Connect rail director Kyle Keahey. "I think

In this Section

Report: Fixing Waller Creek Tunnel design flaw could cost millions

Greg Abbott: Common Core not welcome in Texas

Austin district approves prevailing wages for bond work

11th Street reopening after downtown gas leak

Supporters rally for outdoor music venue as city

board mulls lease

Is Rick Perry considering a move to California?

San Marcos notifies residents of water contamination — 7 months later

Campaign seeks to reignite last year's abortion protests at Capitol



Kyle Keahey, rail director for Project Connect, left, gives a briefing during a joint meeting of the Austin City Council and ... Read More

Proposed urban rail route

The Austin City Council and Capital Metro board next

week, in separate votes, are likely to endorse this 9.5-mile

alternative" for Austin's

next passenger rail line.

Possible station location

route as the "locally preferred

ACC

Dell Children's Sist Se

35)

Hancock

this is the question we're going to have to answer the most over the next few months."

Keahey said Austin, essentially a once-medium-sized city that over the past generation has sprouted into a metropolis, doesn't have a street network that can accommodate that growth.

"We don't have any six-lane roads," Keahey said. "We have a lot of four-lane roads that have never been expanded. To do that, you would have to have lots of acquisitions of property with displacement of people. What rail can do is operate within existing rights of way on roads that can handle both the traffic and rail.

"The conversation needs to be about moving people as opposed to moving cars," he said.

The Capital Metro board Monday will vote on a resolution declaring that the proposed rail route,

which would run from Highland Mall, through the University of Texas and downtown, then along East Riverside Drive to Grove Boulevard, is

ROI ROBERT CALZADA



Stanley Marsh 3, creator of 'Cadillac Ranch,' dies

Wendy Davis calls for new spending on education, roads, water

Mueller development

Oean Manor Rd

Meeton Manor Rd

Mik Jr. Blvd

Blvd 19 — Erwin Center

S Capitol

Austin E Cesar Chavez St.

City Hall

Lady Convention Center

S S. Lakeshore Blvd.

Proposed

Austin City Council and Cap Metro board hold unusual joint meeting at Convention Center to talk about urban rail proposal. They'll ... Read More

ce: City of Austin

Austin's "locally preferred alternative." The council will take a similar vote three days later on June 26.



Austin Council Member Kathie Tovo asks questions Tuesday at the Austin Convention Center during a joint meeting of the council and ... Read More

Based on the discussion Tuesday, as well as multiple other meetings over the past couple of years, the \$1.4 billion rail proposal likely will sail through both bodies without opposition.

That declaration that rail is Austin's official choice is required

under federal law for rail projects that hope to get a Federal Transit Administration grant. The affirmation will kick off what likely would be at least a three-year, detailed planning process for urban rail, beginning with an environmental impact analysis and ending, officials hope, with the feds agreeing to kick in the remaining \$700 million.

But all of that will be contingent on what voters choose Nov. 4 on the bonds. The council is expected to vote Aug. 7, or shortly thereafter, to put the rail proposal on the ballot, commingling it with something close to \$200 million more for road projects.

Council Member Mike Martinez suggested Tuesday that it might be better to extend the line from Grove to Austin-Bergstrom International Airport, cutting off the north end at Hancock Center. Such a plan, he said, could hold greater appeal for the public in November.

"I don't see how a park-and-ride lot at the airport could be any less successful than one at Grove, which is basically in the middle of nowhere." Martinez said.

Keahey said that Project Connect, a joint rail effort of the city and Capital Metro, had "not really examined going to the airport." But he said that in other places, the primary ridership base for rail lines to airports are employees, not passengers. Here, he said, that's "a real low number."

As for the large cost associated with a proposed tunnel at Hancock Center, which would allow urban rail to cross under Capital Metro's MetroRail line and avoid freight trains that also use it, that could be lowered. He said the rail staff is looking at a possible rail overpass

instead that could save as much as \$100 million.

During a discussion of whether the transit agency can afford to take on the line's initial \$22 million a year operating cost, Capital Metro Board Member John Langmore said a recently completed 20-year financial plan for the agency shows it is possible.

But it will require that Capital Metro abandon its longtime policy of buying buses with savings and annual revenue, and instead finance the purchases with debt, he said. At its peak, Langmore said, that debt could reach \$118 million.

NEXT: CRIME & LAW

More abuse allegations made against jaile...

By Philip Jankowski - American-Statesman Staff

PREVIOUS: CRIME & LAW

Bastrop deputy indicted on murder charg...

By Ciara O'Rourke - American-Statesman Staff

Popular on MyStatesman.com

Report: Fixing Waller Creek Tunnel design flaw could cost...

More abuse allegations made against jailed Austin teacher

Austin, Travis County could narrow approach to challenging...

City, Cap Metro nearing official urban rail endorsement

Greg Abbott: Common Core not welcome in Texas

This website is for subscribers; please **Sign in** or **Get Access** to read or post comments.





Read Today's Paper Online

Still like to read the newspaper in the familiar page-by-page format? Great news! Digital versions of today's paper are available on your computer or tablet. And it's included in your subscription.



We're Listening

We hope you're enjoying MyStatesman.com, our premium website exclusively for subscribers. Please tell us what you like and what we can do to make it even better by completing a brief survey.

Learn More

Read

Take The Survey

NEWS		CUSTOMER SERVICE		MARKETPLACE	SOCIAL MEDIA
MyStatesman.com Investigations Obituaries News Tips Archives Newsroom Directory	Sign up for Newsletters Sign up for Mobile Alerts Austin360.com Statesman.com PolitifactTexas Hookem.com ¡ahora sí!	Subscriber Services Contact Us Subscribe Digital Products Get Access	Website feedback Technical Support FAQs Our Community Work Here	Marketplace Cars Jobs Homes/Real Estate Advertise with Us	Twitter Facebook Pinterest Google+ Tumblr More

© 2014 Cox Media Group. By using this website, you accept the terms of our Visitor Agreement and Privacy Policy, and understand your options regarding Ad Choices .

Learn about careers at Cox Media Group